



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/613,890	07/03/2003	Casimir R. Kiczek	02-11 6893	
7590 11/30/2005		EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Department			JOHNSON, VICKY A	
Dura Automotive Systems, Inc. 2791 Research Dr. Rochester Hills, MI 48309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/613,890	KICZEK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vicky A. Johnson	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status	•					
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Section 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 and 21-24 is/are pending in the 3 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 and 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document. 2. Certified copies of the priority document. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/613,890

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the slot" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claims 7 and 8 it is unclear how claim 7 can include the limitation of "including one of" and then claim 8 only further limits the second part of claim 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-15, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated Muhlock (US 3,975,972).

Muhlock discloses a pedal comprising in combination: a mounting bracket (14), an upper pedal arm (22) pivotally connected to the mounting bracket (see Fig 1), a lower pedal (32) arm being adjustable in position with respect to the upper pedal arm, a

Application/Control Number: 10/613,890

Art Unit: 3682

locking member (86, 64), a strand (116) connected to the lower pedal arm, and a biasing member (52).

Re claim 2, the locking member includes a gear (64) and pawl (86) to prevent movement of the lower pedal arm separate from the upper pedal arm in one condition and to permit movement of the lower pedal arm separate from the upper pedal arm in another condition (see Figs 6-8).

Re claim 3, a slot (64) and a pin (86) arrangement.

Re claim 4 the biasing member is selectively for the group of a torsion spring, a coil spring and an elastomeric member (see Fig 1).

Re claim 6, the strand is a cable (116); the cable is attached to an actuator (120).

Re claims 7 and 14 the actuator including a manually actuated cable adjuster (120).

Re claim 10, a slot pin arrangement (64,86) and the slot is one of elongated aperture, arcuate, "S" shaped, "C" shaped and non-linear (see Fig 1).

Re claim 12, the lock member is mounted on the pin (see Fig 1).

Re claim 13, the lock member includes a rotatable pawl (see Fig 1).

Re claim 22, the upper pedal arm has a slot and the lower pedal arm is connected to the pin (see Fig 1).

Re claim 23, the lock member includes a gear and a pawl, the pawl connected to the cable, and the gear connected to the upper pedal arm (see Fig 1).

Re claim 24, the pawl is biased to engage the gear and is rotatable to a disengaged position upon tensioning of the cable (see Figs 3 and 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,890 Page 4

Art Unit: 3682

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muhlock (US 3,975,972) in view of Levine (US 6,820,895).

Muhlock discloses a device as described above, but does not disclose a motor driven actuator.

Levine discloses a cable operated pedal device having a motor (62).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Muhlock to include a motor driven actuator as taught by Levine in order to automatically adjust the position of the driver (col. 6 lines 42-58).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3682

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vicky A. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7106. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:00a-3:30p).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Bucci can be reached on (571) 272-7099. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vicky A. Johnson

Examiner Art Unit 3682